

WAN

lo-city2016

# Comparative analysis of safety features of motorcycle and bicycle

Hsu, Tien-Pen National Taiwan University Velo-City 2016

## Accident occurrence of Taiwan 2003-2014





Fatality no. decreasing, Injury no. increasing, during last ten years

	no. of accident (A1+A2)	no. of fatal	no. of injury
2003	120165	2717	156227
2004	137,221	2,634	179108
2005	155,814	2,894	203087
2006	160,897	3,140	211176
2007	163,971	2,573	216927
2008	170,127	2,224	227423
2009	184,749	2,092	246994
2010	219,651	2,047	293764
2011	235,776	2,117	315201
2012	249,465	2,040	334082
2013	278,388	1,867	373568
2014	307,842	1819	413229

# 2. Accident fatal and injury of motorcycle, Car and Bicycle 2003-2014



WAN

. c o m

ty2016

	motorcycle		Cal		DICYCIC	
year	injury	fatal	injury	fatal	injury	fatal
2005	158,117	1,565	19,234	540	7213	143
2014	352,138	1,111	20,789	216	15,582	125
Annual growth rate	+9.3%	- 3.8%	+0.87%	- 10.7%	+8.9%	- 1.5%



# 3. Accident no. involved by motorcycle, the car and bicycle



Voar	no. of motorcycle	no. of car involved	no. of bicycle
year	involved accident	accident	involved accident
2006	116,439	77,525	7,061
2014	232,289	135,239	14,327
Average growth rate	9.01%	7.2%	9.2%

#### 4. Interaction between car and motorcycle



		motorcycle		Car		Bic	ycle		The second secon	www.velo-city2016.c
	year	injury	fatal	injury	fatal	inj	ury	fatal		
	2005	158,117	1,565	19,234	540	72	213	143		
	2014	352,138	1,111	20,789	216	15,	582	125		
g	Annual growth rate	+9.3%	- 3.8%	+0.87%	- 10.7%	<i>b</i> +8.	.9%	- 1.5%	70	
	year ii	nvolved accid	ycle ent accident	ar no. of t involve accider	picycle d nt	C n a n	Casualty in notorcycl ccident	no. of le/ no. of le	Casua accid	alty no. of car/ ent no. of car
	2006	116	,439 /	/,525	7,061	2000		<u> </u>		10.007
	2014	232	,289 13	5,239	14,327	2008		89.3%		10.9%
	Average				0.00	2009		89.0%		10.1%
	growth rate	9.0	)1%	7.2%	9.2%	2010		89.3%		10.0%
	1400					2011		89.2%		9.8%

Every 10 motorcycle involved accidents cause 9 motorcyclists injury themselves. Every 10 car involved accidents cause 9 other road users injury.



## 5. Comparative Analysis of Bicycle AccidentTaipei and Taiwan

Increase rate of bicycle accident in whole Taiwan is higher than in Taipei Taiwan is 9.21% Taipei is 9.19%



	year	no. of accident, Taiwan	no. of accident, Taipei
	2008	10,268	754
nt	2009	11,516	829
iii	2010	12,427	982
	2011	12,838	1,013
	2012	13,759	1,042
	2013	15,830	1,177
	2014	16,565	1,211
	year	Taipei	Taiwan
	2009	9.9	% 12.2%
	2010	30.29	% 21.0%
	2011	34.4	% 25.0%
	2012	38.2	% 34.0%
	2013	56.19	% 54.2%
	2014	60.69	% 61.3%
mulati ase Ra			



# 6. Comparative Analysis of motorcycle Accident - Taipei and Taiwan

Increase rate of motorcycle accident in whole Taiwan is higher than in Taipei Taiwan is 9.81% Taipei is 9.12%





no. of accident,

186,780

203,482

245,201

265,025

282,740

Taiwan

2009

2010

2011

2012

no. of accident,

14882

17,181 21,318

22,492 21,379

Taipei

## 7. Age of motorcycle accident injury (2014)





Age distribution of motorcycle accident

 Highest in motorcycle accident: Between 15-24 has 35% of motorcycle accident injury



•Highest in bicycle accident : Between 10-19 has 26.1% of bicycle accident injury

# 8. Location of Accident of motorcycle and bicycle

- Motorcycle , at intersection and near intersection has 62.18%
- Bicycle, at intersection and near intersection has 48.5%
- Bicycle accident occurred more on the lane of road section

	Bicycle		moto	rcycle	
Location of accident	No.	Percent	No.	%	
At intersection	6586	38.7%	195598	51.64%	
Lane of road section	5023	29.5%	90607	23.92%	
Near intersection	1666	9.8%	39910	10.54%	
Slow traffic lane	1565	9.2%	27052	7.14%	
Express lane	949	5.6%	23943	6.32%	
other	1211	7.1%	1697	0.44%	





#### 9. Motorcycle and Bicycle accident collision type at intersection



sideswipe(

opposite

direction)

Head-on

1.9

														1		
	Collision type				٨	Notorcy	ycle			Bic	ycle					
	Turn movement other angle collision					45.7	%	31.5%								
	Sidesv	vipe (	same	direc	tion)		8.2%	/ D			15	.2%				
		Re	ear end	d			4.8%	/ D			12	.3%				
		Rig	ht ang	le			22.2	%			8.	2%				
		Rollo	ver or	fall			2.2%	/ D			4.	<b>8</b> %				
	Sideswi	pe (o	pposit	e dire	ection)	tion) 2.2 4.%										
			other				14.3	3			1.	<b>9</b> %				
cident	20000 80000 70000 50000 50000	notoro	cycle co	ollisior	n type a	t inter	section		35 30 25 20	bic	ycle ad	ccider	nt coll	lision <sup>-</sup>	type	
no. of ac	40000 30000 20000 10000					_			× 15 10 5 0		Sidoswipol				sidoswii	
no of a	0 turn collision	right-angle	sideswipe(sam e direction)	rear-end	rollover or fall	sideswipe(opp osite direction)	other			Turn collision	same direction)	Rear-end	Right- angle	rollover or fall	opposi directio	
<b>%</b>	45.7	22.6	8.2	4.8	2.2	2.2	14.3		■ %	31.5	15.2	12.3	8.2	4.8	4	



# 10. Modal share and accident casualty share of motorcycle and bicycle, 2014

Modal share	Bicycle(%)	Motorcycle(%)	accident no and share	No.	percent
Taipei City	3.94	24.35	Motorcycle	23468	49.6
			Bicycle	1220	2.6

Odd ratio motorcycle/bicycle = 2.037/0.66=3.086

The motorcycle has the risk triple than the bicycle in Taipei

## 11. Influence factor of bicycle safety at intersection (poison regression)

modelling								
			Adjusted R	Est. Standard				
modet	R	R square	square	error				
1	. 974 <sup>a</sup>	. 949	. 939	. 27135				

#### coefficient

	Non Normalized		Normalized		
	Coeffi	cient	coefficient		
		Standard			
variable	В	deviation	Beta	t	significance
(constant)	-1.051	. 155		-6.768	. 000
Pavement crack	008	. 282	001	027	. 979
Bicycle left turn volume	031	. 006	283	-4. 952	. 000
Retail store at corner	003	. 065	002	045	. 965
Left turn exclusive lane	778	. 098	262	-7.910	. 000
Two Stage motorcycle zone	. 288	. 133	. 132	2.170	. 034
Medium island	. 107	. 114	. 037	. 942	. 350
Raining or not	. 076	. 109	. 023	. 705	. 484
Night time	082	. 078	035	-1.050	. 298
Right turn vehicle no.	. 001	. 000	. 235	3.270	. 002
Right turn rate	1.521	. 709	. 085	2.147	. 036
Left turn rate	1.368	. 658	. 087	2.079	. 042
Bicycle volume	008	. 000	816	-23.691	. 000

- accident increasing factor
  - motorcycle two-stage waiting zone
  - motorized vehicle flow
  - Motorized vehicles left turn ratio
  - Motorized vehicles right turn ratio

#### • accident decreasing factor

• left turn lane



<sup>042</sup> Summarized from project report of bicycle intersection <sup>000</sup> design guidance 2011, Hsu, T.-P etc.

#### 12.Safety improvement countermeasures for bicycle

- I. differentiate between exclusive lane in road section and crossing lane at intersection
- 2. Setup bicycle stop line
- 3. Connecting bicycle space on road section and at intersection consistently
- 4. Combining cycling space, waiting zone, crossing lane and bicycle signal as a complete system
- 5. Integrating bicycle, pedestrian and motor vehicle facilities at intersection ,such as signal
- 6. Integrative consideration of bicycle movement of left turn, straight out and right

Summarized from project report of bicycle intersection design guidance 2011, Hsu, T.-P etc.

#### 13.Bicycle stop line and waiting zone (Photo: Hsu, T.P)









## 14. Typical collision type of motorcycle accident at intersection

- 1. Sideswiped collision: change lane
- 2. Right Angel collision: change interval
- 3. Left turning other Angle collision by left turning movement : turning movement with straight movement
- •4. Right turning other Angle collision by right turning movement : turning movement with straight movement
- 5. Rear-end collision: change interval and width of intersection







# Safety improvement countermeasure for motorcycle, example

- Right turn other angle collision (same direction):
  Separate signal phase from inside lane (fast lane) and curb lane (slow lane)
- Left turn other angle collision (opposite direction):Narrow the conflict area by shifting front the stop line and set up protected left turn phase and to longer all red time

Summarized from project report of motorcycle safety at intersection design guidance 2014, Hsu, T.-P etc.



### Closing remark

Motorcycle and bicycle have somehow different accident occurrence characteristics.

To enhance the traffic safety for motorcycle and for bicycle should take the different characteristics into consideration



### Thank you for listening

hsutp@ntu.edu.tw

